

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,216	01/11/2002		Hideya Kinoshita	1417.1002 CIP	6978
21171	7590	12/18/2002			
STAAS & 1	HALSEY	LLP	EXAMINER		
700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500				SERGENT, RABON A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1711	5
				DATE MAILED: 12/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

2

Application No. 10/042,216

Applicant(s)

Kinoshita et al.

Examiner

Rabon Sergent

Art Unit 1711



	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE	T TO EXPIRE three MONTH(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXTINE <u>uniee</u> MONTH(S) FROM
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). I mailing date of this communication.</li> </ul>	n no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
<ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of</li> </ul>	the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
Status	
_	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	tion is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims	are duality, 1000 old. 11, 100 old. 210.
	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>	
	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) X The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
	e a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received.
	ve been received in Application No. 09/267,673 .
	documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
a) The translation of the foreign language provision 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
	5 priority diagn 50 0.5.0. 33 120 diagn. 121.
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) 🔀 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)4	6) Other:

Art Unit: 1711

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Firstly, Example 7 within the table on page 8 has no entry for the polyol component.

Secondly, the chemical name set forth for antioxidant AO80 is incorrect. The correct name is 3,9-bis[1,1-dimethyl-2-[3-(3-tert-butyl-4-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)propionyloxy]ethyl]-2,4,8,10-tetraoxaspiro[5.5]undecane.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 2. Copies of the CAS Registry printouts for the two specifically claimed antioxidants have been attached to this Office action. It is noted that antioxidant AO75 is also known as Irganox 1035.
- 3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The claimed amount of foam stabilizer within claim 2 lacks antecedence from the specification; the lower endpoint of the range does not match the value set forth within the specification.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,216 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

Firstly, within claim 1 and 5, the name of the claimed antioxidant is incorrect. The name should be 3,9-bis[1,1-dimethyl-2-[3-(3-tert-butyl-4-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)propionyloxy]ethyl]-2,4,8,10-tetraoxaspiro[5.5]undecane.

Secondly, within claim 1, the two antioxidant species should be referred to in the alternative.

Lastly, it is unclear what amount of dibutyl cresol may be present and still satisfy the "substantially free" language. It is unclear how "substantially" further modifies "free".

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/042,216

Art Unit: 1711

6. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over St. Clair et al. ('781) in view of Ishii et al. ('744) or Duffy et al. ('602).

St. Clair et al. disclose flexible polyurethane foam derived from the reaction of polyisocyanate with polyol, which meets applicants' claimed polyol, in the presence of foaming agent, catalyst, surfactant, and an antioxidant/stabilizer blend comprising relatively high molecular weight hindered phenolic compounds, phosphite ester secondary antioxidant, and benzotriazole UV absorbing agent. See abstract and columns 3-5.

- 7. Though St. Clair et al. disclose the use of relatively high molecular weight hindered phenol antioxidants, patentees fail to disclose the use of applicants' specifically claimed hindered phenol antioxidants. However, applicants' claimed hindered phenol antioxidants were known antioxidants for polyurethanes at the time of invention. Ishii et al. disclose the use of 3,9-bis[1,1-dimethyl-2-[3-(3-tert-butyl-4-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)propionyloxy]ethyl]-2,4,8,10-tetraoxaspiro[5.5]undecane as an antioxidant for polyurethanes, and Duffy et al. disclose the use of 2,2'-thiodiethylene bis[3-(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)propionate] (Irganox 1035) as an antioxidant for use within polyurethanes. Duffy et al. further specifically teach the advantages of using Irganox 1035 and similar antioxidants as a replacement for the BHT. See columns 2 and 3.
- 8. Therefore, since it has been held that it is *prima facie* obvious to utilize a known component for its art recognized purpose (*In re Linder*, 173 USPQ 356; *In re Dial et al.*, 140 USPQ 244), the position is taken that it would have been obvious to incorporate the antioxidants

Application/Control Number: 10/042,216 Page 5

Art Unit: 1711

of the secondary references into the composition of St. Clair et al., so as to arrive at the instant invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (703) 308-2982.

RABON SEAGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER

R. Sergent

December 15, 2002

```
r_8
     ANSWER 1 OF 1
                       ISTRY COPYRIGHT 2002 ACS
RN
     41484-35-9 REGISTRY
CN
     Benzenepropanoic acid, 3,5-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)-4-hydroxy-,
     thiodi-2,1-ethanediyl ester (9CI) (CA INDEX NAME)
OTHER NAMES:
     2,2'-Thiodiethylene bis[3-(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)propionate]
CN
     2,2'-Thiodiethylene bis[3-(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyhydrocinnamate]
CN
CN
     2-(3,5-Di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)propionic acid 2,2'-thiobisethanol
     diester
CN
     3-Thia-1,5-pentanediol bis[3-(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-
     hydroxyphenyl)propionate]
CN
     AO 75
CN
     Bis[(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)propionyl-2-oxyethyl) sulfide
CN
     Bis[2-[3-(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)propionyloxy]ethyl] sulfide
CN
     Fenozan 30
CN
     Irganox 1035
CN
     Irganox 1035FF
CN
     Irganox L 1035
CN
     Naugard EL 50
CN
     Thiodi(2,1-ethanediyl) bis[3-(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-
     hydroxyphenyl)propionate]
CN
     Thiodiethylene bis(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyhydrocinnamate)
CN
     Thiodiethylene glycol
bis[3-(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)propionate]
FS
     3D CONCORD
DR
     53789-56-3, 62132-55-2, 75915-28-5
MF
     C38 H58 O6 S
CI
     COM
LC
     STN Files:
                  AGRICOLA, ANABSTR, BEILSTEIN*, BIOBUSINESS, CA, CAPLUS,
       CASREACT, CHEMCATS, CHEMLIST, CSCHEM, IFICDB, IFIPAT, IFIUDB, MSDS-OHS,
       PIRA, PROMT, RTECS*, SPECINFO, TOXCENTER, USPAT2, USPATFULL
         (*File contains numerically searchable property data)
     Other Sources:
                      DSL**, EINECS**, TSCA**
         (**Enter CHEMLIST File for up-to-date regulatory information)
```

PAGE 1-A

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{C} \\ \text{$$

PAGE 1-B

ANSWER 1 OF 2 REGISTRY COPYRIGHT 2002 ACS L7 90498-90-1 REGISTRY RN Benzenepropanoic acid, 3-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-4-hydroxy-5-methyl-, CN 2, 4, 8, 10-tetraoxaspiro[5.5] undecane-3, 9-diylbis(2, 2-dimethyl-2, 1ethanediyl) ester (9CI) (CA INDEX NAME) OTHER CA INDEX NAMES: 2,4,8,10-Tetraoxaspiro[5.5]undecane, benzenepropanoic acid deriv. OTHER NAMES: 3,9-Bis[1,1-dimethyl-2-[(3-tert-butyl-4-hydroxy-5-CN methylphenyl)propionyloxy]ethyl]-2,4,8,10-tetraoxaspiro[5.5]undecane 3,9-Bis[1,1-dimethyl-2-[3-(3-tert-butyl-4-hydroxy-5-CN methylphenyl)propionyloxy]ethyl]-2,4,8,10-tetraoxaspiro[5.5]undecane CN ADK Stab AO 80 AO 80 CN LX 802 CN Mark AO 80 CN CN Sumilizer AG 80 Sumilizer GA CN Sumilizer GA 80 CN FS 3D CONCORD  $127337 - \overline{89} - 7$ , 124673 - 78 - 5, 130203 - 95 - 1, 133304 - 19 - 5, 149845 - 26 - 1, DR 273937-42-1 C43 H64 O10 MF CA, CAPLUS, CASREACT, CHEMLIST, CIN, PROMT, TOXCENTER, STN Files: LCUSPAT2, USPATFULL NDSL\*\*, TSCA\*\* Other Sources: (\*\*Enter CHEMLIST File for up-to-date regulatory information)

PAGE 1-A

PAGE 1-B

\*\*PROPERTY DATA AVAILABLE IN THE 'PROP' FORMAT\*\*

401 REFERENCES IN FILE CA (1962 TO DATE)
2 REFERENCES TO NON-SPECIFIC DERIVATIVES IN FILE CA
402 REFERENCES IN FILE CAPLUS (1962 TO DATE)